#### 1. Introduction

This is the Funding Strategy Statement (FSS) of the Gwynedd Pension Fund ("the Fund"), which is administered by Gwynedd Council, ("the Administering Authority").

It has been prepared by the Administering Authority in collaboration with the Fund's actuary, Hymans Robertson LLP, and after consultation with the Fund's employers and investment adviser and is effective from 31 March 2008.

#### 1.1 Regulatory Framework

Members' accrued benefits are guaranteed by statute. Members' contributions are fixed in the Regulations at a level which covers only part of the cost of accruing benefits. Employers pay the balance of the cost of delivering the benefits to members. The FSS focuses on the pace at which these liabilities are funded and, insofar as is practical, the measures to ensure that employers or pools of employers pay for their own liabilities.

The FSS forms part of a framework which includes:

- the Local Government Pension Scheme Regulations 1997 (regulations 76A and 77 are particularly relevant);
- the Rates and Adjustments Certificate, which can be found appended to the Fund actuary's triennial valuation report;
- actuarial factors for valuing early retirement costs and the cost of buying extra service; and
- the Statement of Investment Principles.

This is the framework within which the Fund's actuary carries out triennial valuations to set employers' contributions, provides recommendations to the Administering Authority when other funding decisions are required, such as when employers join or leave the Fund. The FSS applies to all employers participating in the Fund.

#### 1.2 Reviews of FSS

The FSS is reviewed in detail at least every three years ahead of triennial valuations being carried out, with the next full review due to be completed by 31 March 2011. More frequently, **Annex A** is updated to reflect any changes to employers.

The FSS is a summary of the Fund's approach to funding liabilities. It is not an exhaustive statement of policy on all issues. If you have any queries please contact Mrs Marina Parry Owen, in the first instance at marinaparryowen@gwynedd.gov.uk or on 01286 679617.

Page No.1 01/02/2008

### 2. Purpose

#### 2.1 Purpose of FSS

The Office of the Deputy Prime Minister (ODPM) (now the Department of Communities and Local Government (CLG)) has stated that the purpose of the FSS is:

- "to establish a **clear and transparent fund-specific strategy** which will identify how employers' pension liabilities are best met going forward;
- to support the regulatory framework to maintain as nearly constant employer contribution rates as possible; and
- to take a prudent longer-term view of funding those liabilities."

These objectives are desirable individually, but may be mutually conflicting.

This statement sets out how the Administering Authority has balanced the conflicting aims of affordability of contributions, transparency of processes, stability of employers' contributions, and prudence in the funding basis.

#### 2.2 Purpose of the Fund

The Fund is a vehicle by which scheme benefits are delivered. The Fund:

- receives contributions, transfer payments and investment income;
- pays scheme benefits, transfer values and administration costs.

One of the objectives of a funded scheme is to reduce the variability of pension costs over time for employers compared with an unfunded (pay-as-you-go) alternative.

The roles and responsibilities of the key parties involved in the management of the pension scheme are summarised in Annex B.

#### 2.3 Aims of the Funding Policy

The objectives of the Fund's funding policy include the following:

- to ensure the long-term solvency of the Fund [and of the share of the Fund attributable to individual employers];
- to ensure that sufficient funds are available to meet all benefits as they fall due for payment;

Page No.2 01/02/2008

- not to restrain unnecessarily the investment strategy of the Fund so that the Administering Authority can seek to maximise investment returns (and hence minimise the cost of the benefits) for an appropriate level of risk;
- to help employers recognise and manage pension liabilities as they accrue;
- to minimise the degree of short-term change in the level of each employer's contributions where the Administering Authority considers it reasonable to do so;
- to use reasonable measures to reduce the risk to other employers and ultimately to the Council Tax payer from an employer defaulting on its pension obligations; and
- to address the different characteristics of the disparate employers or groups of employers to the extent that this is practical and cost-effective.

Page No.3 01/02/2008

## 3. Solvency Issues and Target Funding Levels

#### 3.1 Derivation of Employer Contributions

Employer contributions are normally made up of two elements:

- a) the estimated cost of future benefits being accrued, referred to as the "future service rate"; plus
- b) an adjustment for the funding position (or "solvency") of accrued benefits relative to the Fund's solvency target, "past service adjustment". If there is a surplus there may be a contribution reduction; if a deficit a contribution addition, with the surplus or deficit spread over an appropriate period.

The Fund's actuary is required by the regulations to report the *Common Contribution Rate*<sup>1</sup>, for all employers collectively at each triennial valuation. It combines items (a) and (b) and is expressed as a percentage of pay. For the purpose of calculating the Common Contribution Rate, the surplus or deficit under (b) is currently spread over a period of 20 years – the maximum deficit recovery period applicable to the largest employers in the Fund.

The Fund's actuary is also required to adjust the Common Contribution Rate for circumstances which are deemed "peculiar" to an individual employer<sup>2</sup>. It is the adjusted contribution rate which employers are actually required to pay. The sorts of peculiar factors which are considered are discussed in Section 3.5.

In effect, the *Common Contribution Rate* is a notional quantity. Separate future service rates are calculated for each employer together with individual past service adjustments according to employer-specific spreading and phasing periods.

For some employers it may be agreed to pool contributions, see Section 3.7.4.

**Annex A,** contains a breakdown of each employer's contributions following the 2007 valuation for the financial years 2008/09, 2009/10 and 2010/11. It includes a reconciliation of each employer's rate with the *Common Contribution Rate*. It also identifies which employers' contributions have been pooled with others.

Any costs of non ill-health early retirements must be paid as lump sum payments at the time of the employer's decision in addition to the contributions described above (or by instalments shortly after the decision).

<sup>2</sup> See Regulation 77(6)

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Regulation 77(4)

Employers' contributions are expressed as minima, with employers able to pay regular contributions at a higher rate. Employers should discuss with the Administering Authority before making one-off capital payments.

#### 3.2 Solvency and Target Funding Levels

The Fund's actuary is required to report on the "solvency" of the whole fund at least every three years.

'Solvency" for ongoing employers is defined to be the ratio of the market value of assets to the value placed on accrued benefits on the Fund actuary's *ongoing funding basis*. This quantity is known as a funding level.

The ongoing funding basis is that used for each triennial valuation and the Fund actuary agrees the financial and demographic assumptions to be used for each such valuation with the administering authority.

The fund operates the same target funding level for all ongoing employers of 100% of its accrued liabilities valued on the ongoing basis. Please refer to paragraph 3.8 for the treatment of departing employers.

#### 3.3 Ongoing Funding Basis

The demographic assumptions are intended to be best estimates of future experience in the Fund based on past experience of LGPS funds advised by the actuary. It is acknowledged that future life expectancy and, in particular, the allowance for future improvements in life expectancy, is uncertain. Allowance has been made for improvements in line with PMA92/PFA92 series projections up to calendar year 2033 for prospective pensioners and 2017 for current pensioners, with age ratings applied to fit past LGPS experience. Contributions may increase in future if life expectancy exceeds the funding assumptions. The approach taken is considered reasonable in light of the long term nature of the Fund and the assumed level of security underpinning members' benefits.

The demographic assumptions vary by type of member and so reflect the different membership profiles of employers.

The key financial assumption is the anticipated return on the Fund's investments. The investment return assumption makes allowance for anticipated returns from equities in excess of bonds. There is, however, no guarantee that equities will out-perform bonds. The risk is greater when measured over short periods such as the three years between formal actuarial valuations, when the actual returns and assumed returns can deviate sharply.

It is therefore normally appropriate to restrict the degree of change to employers' contributions at triennial valuation dates.

Page No.5 01/02/2008

Given the very long-term nature of the liabilities, a long term view of prospective returns from equities is taken. For the purpose of the triennial funding valuation at 31 March 2007 and setting contribution rates effective from 1 April 2008, the Fund actuary has assumed that future investment returns earned by the Fund over the long term will be 1.4% per annum greater than the return available from investing in index-linked government bonds at the time of the valuation. The long term in this context would be 20 to 30 years or more. In the opinion of the Fund actuary, based on the current investment strategy of the Fund, an asset outperformance assumption (AOA) of 1.4% per annum is within a range that would be considered acceptable for the purposes of the funding valuation.

The same financial assumptions are adopted for all ongoing employers. All employers have the same asset allocation.

#### 3.4 Future Service Contribution Rates

The future service element of the employer contribution rate is calculated on the ongoing valuation basis, with the aim of ensuring that there are sufficient assets built up to meet future benefit payments in respect of future service. The approach used to calculate each employer's future service contribution rate depends on whether or not new entrants are being admitted. Employers should note that it is only Admission Bodies that may have the power not to admit automatically all eligible new staff to the Fund, depending on the terms of their Admission Agreements and employment contracts.

#### 3.4.1 Employers that admit new entrants

The employer's future service rate will be based upon the cost (in excess of members' contributions) of the benefits which employee members earn from their service each year. Technically these rates will be derived using the *Projected Unit Method* of valuation with a one year control period.

If future experience is in line with assumptions, and the employer's membership profile remains stable, this rate should be broadly stable over time. If the membership of employees matures (e.g. because of lower recruitment) the rate would rise.

The Projected Unit Method is described in the Actuary's report on the valuation.

#### 3.4.2 Employers that do not admit new entrants

Currently no Admission Bodies have closed the scheme to new entrants. However, if an Admission Body were to close the scheme to new entrants it is expected that it would lead to the average age of employee members increasing over time and hence, all other things being equal, the future service rate would be expected to increase as the membership ages.

Page No.6 01/02/2008

In such cases the *Attained Age* funding method would be adopted. This would limit the degree of future contribution rises by paying higher rates at the outset.

Future service rates will include expenses of administration to the extent that they are borne by the Fund and include an allowance for benefits payable on death in service and ill health retirement.

#### 3.5 Adjustments for Individual Employers

Adjustments to individual employer contribution rates are applied both through the calculation of employer-specific future service contribution rates and the calculation of the employer's funding position.

The combined effect of these adjustments for individual employers applied by the Fund actuary relate to:

- past contributions relative to the cost of accruals of benefits;
- different liability profiles of employers (e.g. mix of members by age, gender, manual/non manual);
- the effect of any differences in the valuation basis on the value placed on the employer's liabilities;
- any different deficit/surplus spreading periods or phasing of contribution changes;
- the difference between actual and assumed rises in pensionable pay;
- the difference between actual and assumed increases to pensions in payment and deferred pensions;
- the difference between actual and assumed retirements on grounds of ill-health from active status;
- the difference between actual and assumed amounts of pension ceasing on death;
- the additional costs of any non ill-health retirements relative to any extra payments made;

over the period between each triennial valuation.

Actual investment returns achieved on the Fund between each valuation are applied proportionately across all employers. Transfers of liabilities between employers within the Fund occur automatically within this process, with a sum broadly equivalent to the reserve required on the ongoing basis being exchanged between the two employers.

Page No.7 01/02/2008

The Fund actuary does not allow for certain relatively minor events occurring in the period since the last formal valuation including, but not limited to:

- the actual timing of employer contributions within any financial year;
- the effect of more or fewer withdrawals than assumed;
- the effect of the premature payment of any deferred pensions on grounds of incapacity.

These effects are swept up within a miscellaneous item in the analysis of surplus, which is split between employers in proportion to their liabilities.

#### 3.6 Asset Share Calculations for Individual Employers

The Fund's actuary is required to apportion the assets of the whole fund between the employers at each triennial valuation using the income and expenditure figures provided for certain cash flows for each employer. This process adjusts for transfers of liabilities between employers participating in the Fund, but does make a number of simplifying assumptions. The split is calculated using an actuarial technique known as "analysis of surplus". The methodology adopted means that there will inevitably be some difference between the asset shares calculated for individual employers and those that would have resulted had they participated in their own ring-fenced section of the Fund. The asset apportionment is capable of verification but not to audit standard.

The Administering Authority recognises the limitations in the process, but having regard to the extra administration cost of building in new protections, it considers that the Fund actuary's approach addresses the risks of employer cross-subsidisation to an acceptable degree.

#### 3.7 Stability of Employer Contributions

#### 3.7.1 Deficit Recovery Periods

The Administering Authority instructs the actuary to adopt specific deficit recovery periods for all employers when calculating their contributions.

The Administering Authority targets the recovery of any deficit over a period which takes into account the risk status of employers and to a lesser extent the wider resource implications. The general principles followed are as follows:

Page No.8 01/02/2008

| Type of Employer   | Maximum Length of Deficit Recovery Period  |
|--|--|
| Statutory bodies with tax raising powers.  | a period not exceeding 20 years.   |
| Community Admission Bodies with funding guarantees.  | a period not exceeding 20 years.   |
| Further Education Colleges which are scheduled bodies and not admitted bodies.   | a period not exceeding 15 years.   |
| Best Value Admission Bodies.   | the period from the start of the revised contributions to the end of the employer's contract.  |
| Community Admission Bodies that are closed to new entrants e.g. Bus Companies, whose admission agreements continue after last active member retires. | a period equivalent to the expected future working lifetime of the remaining scheme members allowing for expected leavers, subject to not less than 9 years. |
| All other types of employer.   | a period equivalent to the expected future working lifetime of the remaining scheme members  |

This *maximum* period is used in calculating each employer's *minimum* contributions. Employers may opt to pay higher regular contributions than these minimum rates.

The deficit recovery period starts at the commencement of the revised contribution rate (1 April 2008 for 2007 valuation). The Administering Authority would normally expect the same period to be used at successive triennial valuations, but would reserve the right to propose alternative spreading periods, for example to improve the stability of contributions.

#### 3.7.2 Phasing in of Contribution Rises

Best Value Admission Bodies are not eligible for phasing in of contribution rises. The Administering Authority expects employers with a contribution increase of less than 1% at the 2007 valuation to move to the new rate immediately. Subject to the Administering Authority's overall satisfaction relating to the security of the Fund, other employers may opt to phase in contribution rises of 1% or more over a period of 3 years. However, for those employers who, back in 2005 following the 2004 valuation, opted to phase in their contributions over a period of 4 years, it is expected that they either move to the fourth year contribution rate or the rate noted in the 2007 valuation, whichever is the lowest.

Page No.9 01/02/2008

#### 3.7.3 The Effect of Opting for Longer Spreading or Phasing-In

Employers who are permitted and elect to use a longer deficit spreading period or to phase-in contribution changes will be assumed to incur a greater loss of investment returns on the deficit by opting to defer repayment. Thus, deferring paying contributions will lead to higher contributions in the long-term.

However any adjustment is expressed for different employers the overriding principle is that the discounted value of the contribution adjustment adopted for each employer will be equivalent to the employer's deficit.

#### 3.7.4 Pooled Contributions

#### 3.7.4.1 Smaller Employers

The Administering Authority allows smaller employers [of similar types] to pool their contributions as a way of sharing experience and smoothing out the effects of costly but relatively rare events such as ill-health retirements or deaths in service.

Community Admission Bodies that are deemed by the Administering Authority to have closed to new entrants are not permitted to participate in a pool. Best Value Admission Bodies are also ineligible for pooling.

Employers who are eligible for pooling at the 2007 valuation have been asked to give their written consent to participate in the pool.

As at the 2007 valuation separate pools were operated for Town Councils and for smaller Admission Bodies.

#### 3.7.4.2 Other Contribution Pools

Schools are also pooled with their funding Council.

Those employers that have been pooled are identified in **Annex A**.

#### 3.8 Admission Bodies ceasing

Admission Agreements for Best Value contractors are assumed to expire at the end of the contract.

Admission Agreements for other employers are generally assumed to be open-ended and to continue until the last pensioner dies. Contributions, expressed as capital payments, can continue to be levied after all the employees have retired. These Admission Agreements can however be terminated at any point.

Page No.10 01/02/2008

If an Admission Body's admission agreement is terminated, the Administering Authority instructs the Fund actuary to carry out a special valuation to determine whether there is any deficit.

The assumptions adopted to value the departing employer's liabilities for this valuation will depend upon the circumstances. For example:

- (a) For Best Value Admission Bodies, the assumptions would be those used for an ongoing valuation to be consistent with those used to calculate the initial transfer of assets to accompany the active member liabilities transferred.
- (b) For non Best Value Admission Bodies that elect to voluntarily terminate their participation, the Administering Authority must look to protect the interests of other ongoing employers and will require the actuary to adopt valuation assumptions which, to the extent reasonably practicable, protect the other employers from the likelihood of any material loss emerging in future. This could give rise to significant payments being required.
- (c) For Admission Bodies with guarantors, it is possible that any deficit could be transferred to the guarantor in which case it may be possible to simply transfer the former Admission Bodies members and assets to the guarantor, without needing to crystallise any deficit.

Under (a) and (b), any shortfall would be levied on the departing Admission Body as a capital payment.

#### 3.9 Early Retirement Costs

#### 3.9.1 Non III Health retirements

The actuary's funding basis makes no allowance for premature retirement except on grounds of ill-health. Employers are required to pay additional contributions wherever an employee retires before attaining the age at which the valuation assumes that benefits are payable.

It is assumed that members' benefits on age retirement are payable from the earliest age that the employee could retire without incurring a reduction to their benefit and without requiring their employer's consent to retire.

The additional costs of premature retirement are calculated by reference to these ages.

Page No.11 01/02/2008

## 4. Links to Investment Strategy

Funding and investment strategy are inextricably linked. Investment strategy is set by the administering authority, after consultation with the employers and after taking investment advice.

#### 4.1 Investment Strategy

The investment strategy currently being pursued is described in the Fund's Statement of Investment Principles.

The investment strategy is set for the long-term, but is reviewed from time to time, normally every three years, to ensure that it remains appropriate to the Fund's liability profile. The Administering Authority has adopted a benchmark, which sets the proportion of assets to be invested in key asset classes such as equities, bonds and property. As at 31 March 2007, the asset allocation of the fund was as follows:

| Asset Allocation                   | 0/0   |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| Equities                           | 73.6  |
| Property                           | 9.5   |
| Fixed Interest Bonds               | 7.9   |
| Index Linked Bonds                 | 4.8   |
| Cash                               | 2.7   |
| Tactical Asset Allocation<br>Funds | 1.5   |
| TOTAL                              | 100.0 |

The investment strategy of lowest risk – but not necessarily the most cost-effective in the long-term – would be 100% investment in index-linked government bonds.

The Fund's benchmark includes a significant holding in equities in the pursuit of long-term higher returns than from index-linked bonds. The Administering Authority's strategy recognises the relatively immature liabilities of the Fund and the secure nature of most employers' covenants.

The same investment strategy is currently followed for all employers. The Administering Authority does not currently have the facility to operate different investment strategies for different employers.

Page No.12 01/02/2008

#### 4.2 Consistency with Funding Basis

The current funding policy for the purpose of the purpose of placing a value on liabilities at the triennial funding valuation at 31 March 2007 and setting contribution rates effective from 1 April 2008, is to assume that future investment returns earned by the Fund over the long term will be 1.4% per annum greater than the redemption yield on index-linked government bonds at the time of the valuation. The long term in this context would be 20 to 30 years or more.

Based on the asset allocation of the Fund at 31 March 2007, this would be equivalent to anticipating excess returns relative to index-linked gilts of 1.75% per annum from equities and 1% per annum from property and little or no outperformance from other non-equity assets.

In the opinion of the Fund actuary, the current funding policy is consistent with the current investment strategy of the Fund, the asset outperformance assumption is within a range that would be considered acceptable for the purposes of the funding valuation and consistent with the requirement to take a "prudent longer-term view" of the funding of liabilities (see para 3.1).

However, in the short term – such as the three yearly assessments at formal valuations – there is the scope for considerable volatility and there is a material chance that in the short-term and even medium term, asset returns will fall short of this target. The stability measures described in Section 3 will damp down, but not remove, the effect on employers' contributions. The Fund does not hold a contingency reserve to protect it against the volatility of equity investments.

#### 4.3 Balance between risk and reward

Prior to implementing its current investment strategy, the Administering Authority considered the balance between risk and reward by altering the level of investment in potentially higher yielding, but more volatile, asset classes like equities. This process was informed by the use of Asset-Liability techniques to model the range of potential future solvency levels and contribution rates.

#### 4.4 Intervaluation Monitoring of Funding Position

The Administering Authority monitors investment performance relative to the growth in the liabilities by means of measuring investment returns relative to the returns on a least risk portfolio of index-linked bonds.

Page No.13 01/02/2008

## 5. Key Risks & Controls

#### 5.1 Types of Risk

The Administering Authority's has an active risk management programme in place. The measures that the Administering Authority has in place to control key risks are summarised below under the following headings:

- financial;
- demographic;
- regulatory; and
- governance.

#### 5.2 Financial Risks

| Number | Risk   | Summary of Control Mechanisms   |
|--------|--|---|
| F1     | Fund assets fail to deliver returns in line with the anticipated returns underpinning valuation of liabilities over the long-term. | Only anticipate long-term return on a relatively prudent basis to reduce risk of under-performing.  Analyse progress at three yearly valuations for all employers.  |
| F2     | Inappropriate long-term investment strategy.   | Set Fund-specific benchmark, informed by Asset-<br>Liability modelling of liabilities.  Consider measuring performance and setting<br>managers' targets relative to bond based target,<br>absolute returns or a Liability Benchmark<br>Portfolio and not relative to indices. |
| F3     | Fall in risk-free returns on<br>Government bonds, leading to<br>rise in value placed on<br>liabilities.                            | Some investment in bonds helps to mitigate this risk.   |

Page No.14 01/02/2008

| Number | Risk  | Summary of Control Mechanisms  |
|--------|---|--|
| F4     | Active investment manager under-performance relative to benchmark.  | Short term (quarterly) investment monitoring analyses market performance and active managers relative to their benchmark.  |
|        |   | This is now supplemented with an analysis of absolute returns against those under-pinning the valuation.   |
|        |   | This gives an early warning of contribution rises ahead. In the short term, volatility damped down by stability measures on contributions.  However, if underperformance is sustained over periods over 5 years contributions would rise more. |
| F5     | Pay and price inflation significantly more than anticipated.  | The focus of the actuarial valuation process is on real returns on assets, net of price and pay increases.   |
|        |   | Some investment in bonds also helps to mitigate this risk.   |
|        |   | Employers pay for their own salary awards.   |
| F6     | Effect of possible increase in employer's contribution rate on service delivery and admission/scheduled bodies. | Mitigate impact through deficit spreading and phasing in of contribution rises.  |

## 5.3 Demographic Risks

| Number | Risk   | Summary of Control Mechanisms  |
|--------|--|--|
| D1     | Pensioners living longer.                    | Set mortality assumptions with some allowance for future increases in life expectancy.  Fund actuary monitors combined experience of |
|        |  | around 50 funds to look for early warnings of lower pension amounts ceasing than assumed in funding.                                 |
| D2     | Deteriorating patterns of early retirements. | Employers are charged the extra capital cost of non ill health retirements following each individual decision.                       |

Page No.15 01/02/2008

## 5.4 Regulatory

| Number | Risk   | Summary of Control Mechanisms   |
|--------|--|---|
| R1     | Changes to regulations, e.g. more favourable benefits package, potential new entrants to scheme, e.g. parttime employees.  | The Administering Authority is alert to the potential creation of additional liabilities and administrative difficulties for employers and itself.  It considers all consultation papers issued by the ODPM and comments where appropriate. |
| R2     | Changes to national pension requirements and/or HMRC rules e.g. effect of abolition of earnings cap for post 1989 entrants from April 2006, abolition of Rule of 85 and the new 2008 scheme. | The Administering Authority will consult employers where it considers that it is appropriate.   |

#### 5.5 Governance

| Number | Risk   | Summary of Control Mechanisms   |
|--------|--|---|
| G1     | 1) Administering Authority unaware of structural changes in an employer's membership (e.g. large fall in employee members, large number of retirements).  2) Administering Authority not advised of an employer closing to new entrants. | The Administering Authority monitors membership movements on an annual basiss.  The Actuary may be instructed to consider revising the rates and Adjustments certificate to increase an employer's contributions (under Regulation 78) between triennial valuations  Deficit contributions are expressed as monetary amounts see Annex A. |
| G2     | Administering Authority failing to commission the Fund Actuary to carry out a termination valuation for a departing Admission Body and losing the opportunity to call in a debt.   | In addition to the Administering Authority monitoring membership movements on an annual basis, it would require employers with Best Value contractors to inform it of forthcoming changes.  It would also operate a diary system to alert it to the forthcoming termination of Best Value Admission Agreements.                           |

Page No.16 01/02/2008

| Number | Risk  | Summary of Control Mechanisms   |
|--------|---|---|
| G3     | An employer ceasing to exist with insufficient funding or adequacy of a bond. | The Administering Authority believes that it would normally be too late to address the position if it was left to the time of departure. The risk is mitigated by:  • Seeking a funding guarantee from another scheme employer, or external body, where-ever possible.  • Alerting the prospective employer to its obligations and encouraging it to take independent actuarial advice.  • Vetting prospective employers before admission  • Setting a minimum limit of 20 employees for prospective employers.  • The Administering Authority will consider where permitted under the regulations, requiring a bond to protect the scheme from the extra cost of early retirements on redundancy if the employer failed. |

Page No.17 01/02/2008

## Annex A – Employers' Contributions, Spreading and Phasing Periods

Following the 2007 valuation, the minimum employer contributions shown in the Rates and Adjustment certificate attached to the 2007 valuation report are based on the deficit recovery periods and phasing periods shown in the table below. The table also shows the individual adjustments under Regulation 77(6) to each employer's contributions from the 'Common Contribution Rate'.

TABLE OF EMPLOYERS' CONTRIBUTIONS TO BE INSERTED AFTER VALUATION EXERCISE COMPLETE.

Page No.18 01/02/2008

## Annex B - Responsibilities of Key Parties

#### The Administering Authority should:-

- collect employer and employee contributions;
- invest surplus monies in accordance with the regulations;
- ensure that cash is available to meet liabilities as and when they fall due;
- manage the valuation process in consultation with the fund's actuary;
- prepare and maintain and FSS and a SoIP, both after consultation with interested parties where appropriate; and
- monitor all aspects of the fund's performance and funding and amend FSS/SoIP

#### The Individual Employer should:-

- deduct contributions from employees' pay correctly;
- pay all contributions, including their own as determined by the actuary, promptly by the due date;
- exercise discretions within the regulatory framework;
- make additional contributions in accordance with agreed arrangements in respect of, for example, augmentation of scheme benefits, early retirement strain; and
- notify the administering authorities promptly of all changes to membership or, as may be proposed, which affect future funding.

#### The Fund actuary should:-

- prepare valuations including the setting of employers' contribution rates after agreeing assumptions with the Administering Authority and having regard to the FSS; and
- prepare advice and calculations in connection with bulk transfers and individual benefitrelated matters.

Page No.19 01/02/2008